

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application. The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Akahane* (U.S. Patent 6,226,533), and Claims 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wagner et al.* (U.S. Patent 6,169,911) in view of *Greco et al.* (U.S. Patent 5,568,540).

The Examiner has also noted that a certified copy of the Korean Application has yet to be filed in this case. Please note that a certified copy of KPA 1998-60744 has been ordered from the Korean Intellectual Property Office, and will be submitted upon receipt.

As indicated above, independent Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Akahane*. With respect to independent Claim 1, the Examiner states that *Akahane* teaches all of the elements recited in the claim. However, it is respectfully submitted that *Akahane* does not teach or disclose a method for storing a voice message and storage-related information, wherein the calculations are based on both the amount of memory required to store the voice message and the amount of memory required to store the storage-related information, as is disclosed in the present invention. Based on the foregoing, as indicated above, Claim 1 has been amended to include these recitations. Accordingly, it is

respectfully submitted that amended, independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from *Akahane*, and it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claim 1 is withdrawn.


With respect to independent Claim 5, the Examiner asserts that *Wagner* in view of *Greco* teaches the elements recited in the claim. However, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is incorrect. Claim 5 recites in part “finding a next voice message and returning to step (c) to reproduce the next voice message, if the scroll key is input.” This element is neither disclosed in *Wagner* nor *Greco*. More specifically, Fig. 4 of *Wagner* and its description at col. 6, lines 36-39 clearly teach that a message is first selected and then a separate “Play” key must be entered. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claim 5 is patentably distinct from *Wagner* in view of *Greco*, and it is respectfully requested that the rejection of independent Claim 5 is withdrawn.

Further, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1 and 5 are in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-4 and 6-7, they are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on Claims 1 and 5, respectively. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and objections of dependent Claims 2-4 and 6-7 are respectfully requested.

Applicant submits that pending Claims 1-7 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Allowance is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone

conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,


Peter G. Dilworth
Reg. No. 26,450
Attorney for Applicants

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP

333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516
PGD/DMO/lah